

**No. 15604-4Lab-71/44939.**—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak-Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 25 of 1971  
between

SHRI ROSHAN LAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK-GOHANA  
BUS SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK

*Present.*—

Shri S. N. Vats for the Workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

#### AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak-Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee, Shri Roshan Lal with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—*vide* order No. ID/RK/35/10755-59, dated 14th April, 1971, with the following terms of reference.

“Whethen the retrenchment of Shri Roshan Lal was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bona fide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1530, dated 22nd December, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

**No. 14601-4Lab-71/44941.**—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 46 of 1971  
Between

SHRI NEHAL CHAND WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK GOHANA BUS  
SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK

*Present*—Shri S.N. Vats for the workman.

Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

## AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak brought under retrenchment the employee Shri Nehal Chand with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. ID/RK/35/10797-81, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Nehal Chand was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the reference notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the state.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for re-instatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1541, dated 22nd December, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

O.P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 14600-4-Lab-71/44943.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 41 of 1971

*Between*

SHRI MULKH RAJ WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ROHTAK GOHANA BUS SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK

Present—Shri S.N. Vats for the workman.  
Shri Chanchal Singh for the management.

## AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Mulakh Raj with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. ID/RK/35/11815-19, dated 14th April, 1971 with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Mulkh Raj was justified and in order. If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the reference notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no-dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no-dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

No. 1540, dated 22nd December, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

**No. 14605-4 Lab-71/44945.**—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak.

**BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD**

**Reference No. 22 of 1971**

*Between*

**SHRI GOPAL DASS, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/s ROHTAK GOHANA BUS SERVICE (P) LTD., ROHTAK**

*Present.*—Shri S.N. Vats, for the workman.  
Shri Chanchal Singh, for the management.

#### AWARD

The management of M/s Rohtak Gohana Bus Service (P) Ltd., Rohtak, brought under retrenchment their employee Shri Gopal Dass with effect from 31st October, 1970. This gave rise to an industrial dispute. The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (I) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal—*vide* order No. ID/RK/35/10773-77, dated 14th April, 1971, with the following term of reference.

“Whether the retrenchment of Shri Gopal Dass was justified and in order. If not ; to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the reference notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management took the plea that the retrenchment of the workman had been brought about as a result of bonafide closure of the business consequent upon the nationalisation of the road transport by the State.

The case was fixed for the evidence of the management. No proceedings are, however, called for in the case as the parties have entered into an amicable settlement. The workman has withdrawn his claim for reinstatement as well as back wages and requested for a no dispute award. Statements of the parties have been recorded.

In view of the above, a no-dispute award is given without any order as to costs.

O.P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

No. 1529, dated 22nd December, 1971

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 21st December, 1971.

O.P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

B.L. AHUJA,  
Commissioner for Labour and Employment,  
and Secretary to Government, Haryana.

### LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### Order

The 11th December, 1971

No. 13368-5Lab-71/42053.—In supersession of Haryana Government order No. 8789-5Lab-71/31828, dated 28th September, 1971, and in exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 76 of the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to order that the staff and members of Medical Appeal Tribunal constituted,—*vide* Haryana Government order No. 4799-5Lab-1-71, dated the 6th July, 1971, shall be paid on the following basis with effect from 1st January, 1972:—

(1) Chairman	Rs. 45	per case finally disposed off.
(2) Medical Assessors	Rs. 32	Ditto
(3) Trade Union Representatives	Rs. 16	Ditto
(4) Staff	Rs. 25	Ditto

2. The Chairman, Medical Appeal Tribunal shall decide to which particular staff Member/Members, the amount of Rs. 25 is to be distributed and paid. The payment of fees shall be made by the State Government in the first instance and get the re-imbursement of the same from the Corporation subsequently.

The 20th/23rd December, 1971

No. 14299-1Lab-71/44289.—On termination of her services Kumari Jyotsna Kalia, Assistant Employment Officer (Vocational Guidance), District Employment Exchange, Karnal, relinquished her charge with effect from 9th December, 1971 (afternoon).

The 29th December, 1971

No. 14369-1Labour-71/44347.—On termination of her services Smt. Shobha Bhatia, Assistant Employment Officer (Vacational Guidance), Sub-Regional Employment Officer, Faridabad, relinquished her charge with effect from 11th December, 1971 (afternoon).

B. L. AHUJA,  
Commissioner for Labour and Employment,  
and Secretary to Government, Haryana.